

WHY CHAMPION SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING?

CaSE

Campaign for Science and Engineering

If we are to meet the economic, health, security, and environmental challenges facing our society, policy makers need to champion science and engineering. This short briefing highlights the current evidence for how science and engineering supports...

A strong economy

Government investment in science and engineering creates a virtuous cycle, leveraging investment from industry¹, raising productivity², and creating more high-value jobs³. It is a highly effective way to invest public money to drive economic growth.

- Government R&D grants can stimulate around **30% more self-investment** from industry⁴ and for every £1 spent by the government on R&D, private sector R&D productivity rises by 20p per year in perpetuity⁵.
- **Innovation was responsible for half of all UK labour productivity growth** between 2000 and 2008, with 32% of that attributable to changes in technology resulting from science and engineering⁶. Productivity growth is essential to raise wages and living standards.
- Increasing public and private R&D spending and tackling the STEM* skills shortage to improve UK business supply chains could add **£30 billion to the UK economy by 2025 by boosting the manufacturing sector and creating over 500,000 jobs**⁷.

High value jobs

A wide range of industries, from manufacturing to digital technology, rely on science and engineering to innovate, grow, and create high-value jobs⁸. Investment and support for science and engineering is essential for the future of the UK's high-tech and knowledge-based economy.

- **20% of the workforce** is employed in science and engineering roles, a total of 5.8 million people in 2011⁹. STEM graduates pay more tax as they earn 20% more on average¹⁰.
- **Growth of innovative UK firms starts with the hiring of more STEM graduates** followed by increased R&D spending, resulting in new products to market and higher sales growth¹¹.
- **The UK needs 1.28 million new science, engineering, and technology professionals and technicians** by 2020. Failure to meet this could cost the UK £27 billion a year¹².

*STEM stands for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Maths.

Healthier and happier lives

Science and engineering produces more effective medicines and cleaner energy, generates new technologies and informs government policy. Science and engineering can enable technological improvements and economic growth alongside environmental and social improvements, leading to healthier and happier lives for all¹³.

- Global research efforts have led to cancer treatments and interventions delivering health gains equivalent to £124 billion for UK patients between 1991 and 2010 through **prevention, early detection, and improved survival**¹⁴.
- **One million more properties were protected in the floods** of 2013-14 compared to similar floods in 2007 as a result of government-funded research. This saved £2.6 billion of lost working days in London alone and £2 billion in fewer and less expensive insurance pay-outs¹⁵.
- R&D has improved aircraft fuel efficiency by 30% since 1990, saving over 400 million tonnes of CO₂ per year, and is expected to **improve efficiency by a further 38% between 2010 and 2050**¹⁶.

"Being at the cutting edge of R&D can help boost exports, attract investment to the UK and drive growth."

John Cridland, CBI Director-General

The Campaign for Science & Engineering (CaSE) is the leading independent advocate for science and engineering in the UK.

CaSE calls on the government to increase investment in research, support STEM education from primary school through to university, and set immigration policies that help attract and retain top talent. CaSE's top ten actions to champion science and engineering are available on our website, www.sciencecampaign.org.uk, where you will also find more detailed analysis and recommendations presented in three CaSE briefings.

Our mission is to raise the political profile of science and engineering. We passionately believe in the economic and cultural importance of scientific and technological education and development, and the vital need for the funding of this research by government and industry. The strength of our policy advocacy is due to our political networks, independent analysis, broad membership, and responsiveness.



References and further reading

1. Research Councils UK, *Leverage from public funding of science and research*, 2013
Higher Education Funding Council for England, *A review of QR funding in English higher education institutions*, 2014
Health Economics Research Group, Office of Health Economics, RAND Europe, *Medical Research: What's it worth? Estimating the economic benefits from medical research in the UK*, 2008
Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, *Estimating the effect of UK direct public support for innovation*, 2014
Technology Strategy Board: *Evaluation of the Collaborative Research and Development Programmes*, 2011
2. Jonathan Haskel, Alan Hughes and Elif Bascavusoglu-Moreau, *The Economic Significance of the UK Science Base*, 2014
Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, *Innovation report 2014*
Jonathan Haskel and Gavin Wallis, *Public support for innovation, intangible investment and productivity growth in the UK market sector*, 2013
Oxford Economics, *The impact of the innovation, research and technology sector on the UK economy*, 2014
3. The Science Council, *The current and future UK science workforce*, 2011
Confederation of British Industry, *Engineering our future*, 2014
Royal Academy of Engineering, *Engineering for Growth*, 2014
Tech City UK, *Tech Nation 2015*, 2015
Deloitte, commissioned by the Institute of Physics, *The Importance of Physics to the UK Economy*, 2014
4. Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, *Estimating the effect of UK direct public support for innovation*, 2014
5. Jonathan Haskel, Alan Hughes and Elif Bascavusoglu-Moreau, *The Economic Significance of the UK Science Base*, 2014
6. Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, *Estimating the effect of UK direct public support for innovation*, 2014
7. Confederation of British Industry, *Pulling together*, 2014
8. The Science Council, *The current and future UK science workforce*, 2011
Technopolis, *Assessing the economic returns of engineering research and postgraduate training in the UK*, 2015
Deloitte, *Measuring the economic benefits of mathematical research in the UK*, 2012
Deloitte, commissioned by the Institute of Physics, *The Importance of Physics to the UK Economy*, 2014
Oxford Economics, *The economic benefits of chemistry*, 2010
Tech City UK, *Tech Nation 2015*, 2015
9. The Science Council, *The current and future UK science workforce*, 2011
10. Institute for Education, *The labour market value of STEM qualifications and occupations*, 2011
11. Department for Business Innovation and Skills, *Innovative firms and growth*, 2014
12. Royal Academy of Engineering, *Engineering for Growth*, 2014
13. Research Councils UK, *Impact report*, 2014
14. Matthew Glover, Martin Buxton, Susan Guthrie, Stephen Hanney, Alexandra Pollitt and Jonathan Grant, *Estimating the returns to UK publicly funded cancer-related research in terms of the net value of improved health outcomes*, 2014
15. Natural Environment Research Council, *Impact Report*, 2014
16. Sustainable Aviation, *Sustainable Aviation CO2 road-map*, 2012

If you are reading a printed version of this please go online to access hyperlinks at <http://goo.gl/PDRfrx>

Phone

020 7679 4994

Email

info@sciencecampaign.org.uk



This document was produced with the generous support of the Royal Society of Chemistry

